

Request for Proposal

Updates to the Professional Engagement Guide (PEG)

Up to a Five-Year Term, Plus Options to Renew



Table of Contents

Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada overview	1
Request for Proposal overview	2
PEG learning objectives	3
Inquiries during the RFP process	4
Submission of proposal	5
Conditions	5
Candidate qualifications	6
Publication outline	7
1. Practical guidance – Methodology	7
2. Practice aids	7
3. Case studies and filled-in-forms (FIFs)	7
Author(s) responsibilities	8
CPA Canada responsibilities	9
PEG process and timelines	10
Proposal content and format of the response	11
Basis of granting the contract	12
Phase 1 - Initial submission	12
Phase 2 - Short-listed candidates	12
Appendix A - Samples of PEG contents	13

SECTION 1 Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada overview

Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada ("CPA Canada") is the Canadian national accounting organization.

CPA Canada provides services that meet the information, business and educational needs of Chartered Professional Accountants and other stakeholders. CPA Canada undertakes research and develops new products and professional services concepts, publishes no-cost and for-fee technical and non-technical reference materials including books, newsletters, videos, and podcasts, and offers a variety of conferences, courses, webinars, and e-learning tools.

CPA Canada, together with the Provincial, Territorial and Bermuda CPA bodies, represents a membership of approximately 210,000 individuals in Canada and Bermuda. Our membership is highly diverse with distinct needs and interests based primarily on where they work (industry/not-for-profit sector, government, academia, or public practice) and their role (e.g., CEO, CFO, other finance and administration, financial and non-financial auditor, financial planner, forensic accounting, board/audit committee member, and so on).

As part of its role, CPA Canada also provides comprehensive, practical, step-by-step guidance to those who perform audit, assurance and tax relating to small and medium-sized entities such as the Professional Engagement Guide ("PEG"), Practice Management, Advisory, Compilation and Tax Guide ("PACT") and Quality Management Guide ("QMG").

Request for Proposal overview

The purpose of this Request for Proposal ("RFP") is to invite prospective candidates (e.g., firms, groups, individuals, companies, etc.) to submit a proposal to CPA Canada to be a contributing author in the annual updating of the Professional Engagement Guide ("PEG"). The term would be up to a period of five years, with options to extend to a longer period. Discussions regarding compensation and author rights will take place with candidates selected for <u>phase 2</u> as discussed in <u>section 12</u>. See <u>section 10</u> for additional information regarding compensation.

Updates to the PEG may include:

- Revisions to templates and associated guidance due to new or revised standards
- Development of new templates and guidance based on customer and other stakeholder feedback provided to CPA Canada
- Improvements to current guidance based on best practices and learning modalities
- Other improvements as suggested by the author

PEG learning objectives

The PEG is designed to provide practical step-by-step guidance, methodology and templates for practitioners and their staff to perform assurance and compilation engagements relating primarily to small and medium-sized entities.

The PEG is one of CPA Canada's most popular publications with over 5,000 public practice accounting firms, including sole practitioners subscribing to it on an annual basis. The practice aids included within the PEG are also licensed and sold through third-party software vendors.

The PEG is updated at least on an annual basis and delivered electronically on Knotia and by print.

SECTION 4 Inquiries during the RFP process

Any inquiries regarding this RFP should be directed to:

Amanda Woo, CPA, CA Lead Principal, Audit and Assurance Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada peg@cpacanada.ca

Submission of proposal

Please submit your proposal via email by **October 17th, 2022, at 5:00 pm PST** to Amanda Woo at <u>peg@cpacanada.ca</u> with the subject heading "**PEG RFP Submission – [Your Name]**", in either a PDF or Word document.

Conditions

- CPA Canada will not be liable for any costs incurred by the candidates in the preparation of their response to this RFP.
- The rejection of any or all proposals shall not render CPA Canada liable for any costs or damages.
- CPA Canada will consider all proposals submitted in response to this RFP as confidential.
- CPA Canada reserves the right to accept any proposal submitted or to reject all proposals.

CPA Canada expects to formally notify candidates selected for <u>phase 2</u> by **October 31st, 2022**. Refer to <u>section 12</u> for more information on <u>phase 2</u>.

Candidate qualifications

The minimum requirements for initial consideration as a candidate under this RFP include:

- Individual candidate a Canadian CPA designation, or equivalent
- Group, firm, or company candidate an organization with involvement in similar work
- A minimum of seven (7) years of relevant work experience in public practice
- Strong audit and assurance technical skills, including ability to interpret standards and related guidance
- Experience in authoring publications and other types of technical writing
- Flexibility to adapt work schedule in the event milestone dates are rescheduled
- Experience working with the PEG is considered an asset

Publication outline

The PEG publication consists of three areas:

1. Practical guidance - Methodology

Contains a step-by-step methodology along with helpful tips and best practices to help practitioners perform assurance and compilation engagements.

2. Practice aids

The practice aids consist of several Word and Excel templates that include engagement forms, checklists, sample reports and sample letters designed to help practitioners ensure engagement completeness, consistency and effectiveness. The practice aids cover the following areas:

- Audit engagements
- Compilation engagements
- Compliance reporting
- Review engagements

3. Case studies and filled-in-forms (FIFs)

Contains case studies and completed practice aids to provide examples for practitioners.

Updates to the content above may include:

- Revisions to templates and associated guidance due to new or revised standards
- Development of new templates and guidance based on customer and other stakeholder feedback provided to CPA Canada
- Improvements to current guidance based on best practices and learning modalities
- Other improvements as suggested by the author

See <u>Appendix A</u> for an example of what is included in the PEG.

Author(s) responsibilities

The author(s) will:

- Attend the planning meeting set up by CPA Canada to discuss updates and project timelines
- Update the content according to the quality and format acceptable to CPA Canada and as per agreed upon project timelines
- Address any queries by CPA Canada and other stakeholders and make the appropriate updates to the content
- Work with contributing authors where applicable
- Obtain and file any necessary permissions, consent of other publishers or authors, or a waiver of any moral rights associated with the content

SECTION 9 CPA Canada responsibilities

CPA Canada will:

- Set up a planning meeting with the author(s) to discuss and approve content updates
- Review the content and provide queries where necessary
- Edit and translate the content into French
- Market, sell and distribute the PEG
- Answer queries received from users of the PEG
- Assign work to contributing authors where applicable
- Copyright the content in CPA Canada's name in Canada and renew such copyright to the extent permitted by law
- Pay any necessary permission fees before delivery of the content

SECTION 10 PEG timelines and compensation

The following timeline, including no. of hours, are an estimate of the author(s)'s involvement and can vary significantly depending on the type of update.

Туре	Estimated Due Dates Planning with author and receive content from author	Estimated no. of hours
Planning	October	5-10
Updates to practice aids	November	20-350
Updates to practical guidance	December	20-350
Updates to case studies and filled-in forms	January	20-350
Responding to feedback/meetings/etc.	Throughout the process	20-40

We anticipate **compensation** to be approximately \$150 per hour with a set minimum of approximately \$100,000 due to the variability of work. Timelines and compensation will be discussed and finalized with the author(s) at **phase 2**.

Proposal content and format of the response

Please include the following in your submission to the RFP:

- An introduction of yourself or your organization which includes the benefits that CPA Canada would derive by selecting you or your organization as an author for the updates to the PEG
- An overview of your experience with delivering audits, reviews, compilations, authoring guidance and knowledge of *CPA Canada Handbook Assurance*
- Name(s) and credentials (CVs)
- Copies of a representative selection of similar work: publications, courses, e-learning samples, curriculum maps, instructor led training, templates, etc.
- An indication of which portions of the publication proposed in the RFP are of interest (e.g., practical guidance, practice aids or case studies/filled-in forms) or if the whole project is of interest

Basis of granting the contract

Phase 1 – Initial submission

CPA Canada will evaluate the responses received per <u>section 11</u> and will select appropriately qualified candidates who best meet the criteria stipulated in <u>section 6</u>. These candidates will then advance to phase 2 of the candidate(s) selection process. Multiple authors may be chosen depending on which area they have selected to author (i.e., practical guidance, practice aids, case studies and FIFs).

Phase 2 - Short-listed candidates

Candidates selected will have a one-on-one meeting with CPA Canada to discuss the candidate's vision for the updates to the PEG. Candidates may be asked to submit a sample of the proposed updates to the PEG. Candidates and CPA Canada will discuss compensation, structure and author rights.

CPA Canada will evaluate the samples and sign an engagement agreement with the candidate(s) whose work is appropriate for the updates to the PEG.

CPA Canada reserves the right to:

- Reject any or all proposals and to take any or all proposals under advisement
- Request further information and clarification from any candidate
- Accept any proposal that may be considered to be in CPA Canada's best interest in meeting the standards of quality, experience, price, and overall value

Appendix A – Samples of PEG contents

The following content is a sample of the current audit related practical guidance, practice aids, case study and filled in forms related to materiality.

PRACTICAL GUIDANCE

ſ	RIMM = Risks of materi F/S = Financial statem	ents.			ACTIONS
	RAPs = Risk assessme TCWG = Those charge				
	STEP 2 - DETERMINE	EMATERIALITY (Refer to Volume 2, Chapter 11)			
	Purpose: To establish	the amount of misstatements that, if exceeded, would influence the	economic d	ecisions o	f F/S users.
	Activity	Description	Volume 2 Section	Practice Aids	Relevant Standards
	a. Determine overall materiality	Determine materiality for the F/S as a whole (i.e., overall materiality) based on the level of misstatement that, if exceeded, would influence F/S users.	11.1	420	CAS 320.10 CAS 600.21-23
	b. Determine performance materiality	Determine performance materiality based on the known risks of misstatement. This could be one amount overall or by individual F/S area and disclosure as required.	11.2	420	CAS 320.11
	c. Determine any specific materiality	Determine specific materiality in the rare instance where amounts less than overall materiality would influence F/S users.	11.3	420	CAS 320.10-11
	Documentation: Desc	criptions of F/S users and how overall and performance materiality a	nounts were	e determin	ed.
		1			
	Overa Materialit Based on F/S* use	The total amount of misstatements in a F/S,* v			
	Materiali	The total amount of misstatements in a F/S,* v	omic deci	isions of	users.
	Materiali	The total amount of misstatements in a F/S,* reasonably be expected to influence the econ \$ Often a percentage applied to a benchmark (successed) The amount(s) set by auditor (at less than over reduce the probability that the aggregate of u	omic deci h as 5% of erall mate ncorrecte	f profit b riality) t	users.
	Materialit Based on F/S*use Performanc Materialit	The total amount of misstatements in a F/S,* 1 reasonably be expected to influence the econ \$ Often a percentage applied to a benchmark (succ by Sk The amount(s) set by auditor (at less than over reduce the probability that the aggregate of u undetected misstatements exceeds overall materiality (such as Often a percentage of overall materiality (such as	omic deci h as 5% of erall mate ncorrecte iteriality.	f <i>profit b</i> riality) t d and	users.
e> W	Materialit Based on F/S* use Performanc Materialit Based on audit ri *F/S = Financial statem some situations, m spected to influenc ould be determined	The total amount of misstatements in a F/S,* 1 reasonably be expected to influence the econ \$ Often a percentage applied to a benchmark (succ by Sk The amount(s) set by auditor (at less than over reduce the probability that the aggregate of u undetected misstatements exceeds overall materiality (such as Often a percentage of overall materiality (such as	omic deci h as 5% of erall mate ncorrecte iteriality. s 60% to 8 teriality of specific	f profit b riality) t d and 30%) could re	efore tax)
e> w pe	Materialit Based on F/S* use Performance Materialit Based on audit ri *F/S = Financial statem some situations, m spected to influence ould be determined erformance materia	The total amount of misstatements in a F/S, * reasonably be expected to influence the econ soften a percentage applied to a benchmark (succ reduce the probability that the aggregate of u undetected misstatements exceeds overall materiality (such ar soften a percentage of overall materiality (such ar often a percentage of overall materiality (such ar hisstatements of lesser amounts than overall ma e the economic decisions of users. If so, a lower d for the relevant financial statement areas or di	teriality of specific sclosure	f profit b riality) t d and 30%) could ra materi s, and a	efore tax)

PRACTICE AIDS AND FILLED-IN-FORMS

Materi	ality					420 Page 1 of 3
Entity <u>C</u>	ambridge Furniture Inc.			Period ended	December 31, 2	
Objective:	To determine: • Overall materiality (ino financial statements (• Performance materiali of uncorrected/undete • Specific materiality an (Refer to Vol. 1, Ch. 15, Ma guidance.)	CAS 320.10) ity required t cted misstat id specific pe	o reduce (to an acco ements exceeds over formance materiali	eptably low level) erall materiality (ty where required	the probability CAS 320.11). d (CAS 320.10 :	that the aggregate and .A11-A12).
	cial statements.					
	principal users of the F/S	Fa	ctors that would infl			
Users		(Co	onsider key F/S area	s and disclosure	es, laws/regulat	ions and other matters)
a. Bank		tha		entity's ability to	repay the loan.	ants and anything else . The bank may also be
b. Share invest	holders and potential new ors	Pro	ofitability and futur	e revenue growth	2.	
с.						
375						
Use profess	nateriality (materiality for t sional judgment as to the hig ic decisions taken by the F/3	hest amount	t of misstatement(s)	that could be inc	luded in the F/S	S without affecting
Overall m Use profess the econom Type of entity	sional judgment as to the hig ic decisions taken by the F/S Basis for calculation	hest amount	t of misstatement(s) tifled above. od Previous	that could be inc Benchmark % applied	luded in the F/S Possible materiality	S without affecting
Overall m Use profess the econom Type of	sional judgment as to the hig ic decisions taken by the F/S	hest amount S users iden This perio	t of misstatement(s) tiffed above. od Previous period \$204,729 plus a management bonus of \$30,000	Benchmark	Possible	
Overall m Use profess the econom Type of entity Profit-	Income before tax from continuing operations (after adjusting for after adjusting for	thest amount S users iden This period anticipato \$302,462 plus a managem bonus of \$40,000 (W/P XX)	c of misstatement(s) tiffed above. od Previous period \$204,729 plus a management borus of \$30,000	Benchmark % applied	Possible materiality	Continents Income is the most important yardstick for the F/S users; however, income has not been stable over
Overall m Use profess the econom Type of entity Profit-	ional judgment as to the hig ic decisions taken by the F/S Basis for calculation Income before tax from continuing operations (after adjusting for bonuses, etc.)	hest amount S users iden This period anticipate \$302,462 plus a managem bonus of \$40,000 (W/P XX) \$342,462	c of misstatement(s) tiffed above. od Previous period \$204,729 plus a management borus of \$30,000	Benchmark % applied 10%	Possible materiality \$34,000	Comments Income is the most important yardstick for the F/S users; however, income has not been stable over the last few years. Revenue has been relatively stable and is a good measurement base for
Overall m Use profess the econom Type of entity Profit-	ional judgment as to the hig ic decisions taken by the F/S Basis for calculation Income before tax from continuing operations (after adjusting for bonuses, etc.) Gross revenues Other (describe — such	hest amount S users iden This period anticipate \$302,462 plus a managem bonus of \$40,000 (W/P XX) \$342,462	c of misstatement(s) tiffed above. od Previous period \$204,729 plus a management borus of \$30,000	Benchmark % applied 10%	Possible materiality \$34,000	Comments Income is the most important yardstick for the F/S users; however, income has not been stable over the last few years. Revenue has been relatively stable and is a good measurement base for
Overall m Use profess the econom Type of entity Profit- oriented	ional judgment as to the hig ic decisions taken by the F/s Basis for calculation Income before tax from continuing operations (after adjusting for bonuses, etc.) Gross revenues Other (describe — such as assets) Total revenues or	hest amount S users iden This period anticipate \$302,462 plus a managem bonus of \$40,000 (W/P XX) \$342,462	c of misstatement(s) tiffed above. od Previous period \$204,729 plus a management borus of \$30,000	Benchmark % applied 10%	Possible materiality \$34,000	Comments Income is the most important yardstick for the F/S users; however, income has not been stable over the last few years. Revenue has been relatively stable and is a good measurement base for